

Prediction of Cost of Chikoko-Cement Concrete Using Osadebe's Regression Polynomial

J. I. Arimanwa¹ and S. Sule²

¹ (Department of Civil Engineering, Federal University of Technology, P.M.B. 1526, Owerri, Nigeria)

² (Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Port Harcourt P.M.B. 5323, Rivers State, Nigeria)

Abstract

In this paper, Osadebe's regression polynomial is used to predict the cost of chikoko-cement concrete. The cost model was formulated based on the unit costs of the component materials. The expected cost values were compared with the observed cost values and were found to coincide at all points of observation. The reliability of the model was assessed using F-statistic at a 5% level of significance and was found to be adequate. A computer program written in BASIC language was invoked to select the optimum mix ratios corresponding to the desired overall cost value and vice versa. The computer program is simple and requires less effort to achieve the desired results.

Keywords:

Chikoko-cement concrete, Level of significance, Mix ratios, observed cost, Osadebe's regression theory, Overall cost, Predicted cost

I. INTRODUCTION

The increase in the population of Nigerians in recent times, coupled with the recent rise in the price of cement, has reduced the pace of infrastructural developments in Nigeria as most citizens of Nigeria cannot afford their decent shelters [1-3]. Nigeria is a country where different local buildings and construction materials, such as stones, sand, laterite, and timber abound. Nevertheless, the search for decent shelter is a source of concern [4]. Concrete has been rated as the most universal of all structural materials in the world [5].

The quest for decent accommodation amid the present economic situation in Nigeria is on the increase [6]. This scenario is directly proportional to the rise in the local demand for cement by both the local and urban dwellers. According to Johnarry [13], the increase in the number of cement factories here and there to increase the availability of cement cannot still provide a lasting solution to the problem of scarcity of cement in Nigeria. According to him, even an effort by

the Federal Government of Nigeria to engage in the importation of cement is always a difficult task as it always involves high foreign exchange.

The search for cement replacement materials in concrete production now becomes an issue to contend with, as that appears to be the only way out of the present housing problem in Nigeria.

The potential of chikoko clay as a partial replacement for cement in concrete production has been studied by some researchers [8-9]. The addition of chikoko clay in concrete will increase the number of component materials and will eventually make it difficult to identify the cheapest mix ratios that will produce the desired strength. Concrete structures and houses perform better in service when the component materials are blended in optimum proportions. The use of laboratory trial mixes is practically not economical [10].

In this paper, a mathematical model is developed based on Osadebe's regression technique to predict the overall cost of chikoko-cement concrete. A BASIC computer program is invoked to facilitate quick selection of the best mix ratios corresponding to a desired overall cost value and vice versa.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The cement used as a binder is ordinary Portland cement, with properties meeting the requirements of [11]. The water used was clean and free from any form of organic matter. The fine aggregate was sharp river sand obtained from Otamiri River at the Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State. The maximum size was 5mm. The provisions of [12] carried out grading and properties. The granites used as coarse aggregates were obtained in bags at Ihiagwua in Imo State. They were washed correctly and sundried for two weeks to remove dirt before concreting. The maximum size was 20mm. The chikoko clay was obtained in bags from the mangrove swamps of the Eagle's Island in Port Harcourt, Rivers State. It was sundried for three weeks, after which it was ground and sieved to obtain



particles of fineness close to that of cement. An oxide composition test was carried out on a dry chikoko clay sample to ascertain its pozzolanic properties (Table 1).

III. DEVELOPMENT OF COST MODEL

According to Obam and Osadebe [1], the overall cost for a 5-component mixture can be approximated by a polynomial function as follows:

$$Y = \alpha_1 Z_1 + \alpha_2 Z_2 + \alpha_3 Z_3 + \alpha_4 Z_4 + \alpha_5 Z_5 + \alpha_{12} Z_1 Z_2 + \alpha_{13} Z_1 Z_3 + \alpha_{14} Z_1 Z_4 + \alpha_{15} Z_1 Z_5 + \alpha_{23} Z_2 Z_3 + \alpha_{24} Z_2 Z_4 + \alpha_{25} Z_2 Z_5 + \alpha_{34} Z_3 Z_4 + \alpha_{35} Z_3 Z_5 + \alpha_{45} Z_4 Z_5 \quad (1)$$

Where: Y = overall cost corresponding to a particular point of observation,

Z_i, Z_j, Z_{ij} = predictor variables, α_i, α_{ij} = coefficients of the cost model

Consider S_i and Z_i to be the actual ratio and fractional portions of the mixture.

If the mixture is assumed to have a total volume denoted by S , then:

$$S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 = S \quad (2)$$

Dividing both sides of equation (2) by S yields:

$$S_1/S + S_2/S + S_3/S + S_4/S + S_5/S = 1 \quad (3)$$

Let:

$$S_i/S = Z_i \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \quad (4)$$

Equation (3) now becomes:

$$Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4 + Z_5 = 1 \quad (5)$$

Where: Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4 and Z_5 = fractional portion of water, cement, chikoko, sand and coarse aggregate, respectively

A. Coefficients of the cost function

For the n th point of observation, $y^{(n)}$ the vector of the corresponding predictor variables is:

$$Z^{(n)} = [Z_1^{(n)}, Z_2^{(n)}, Z_3^{(n)}, Z_4^{(n)}, Z_5^{(n)}] \quad (6)$$

The response function $Y^{(n)}$ corresponding with the predictors $Z_i^{(n)}$ at the n th point of observation is given by:

$$Y^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^5 \alpha_i Z_i^{(n)} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 5} \alpha_{ij} Z_i^{(n)} Z_j^{(n)} \quad (7)$$

Where: $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 5$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 15$

Putting equation (7) in matrix form yields:

$$[y^{(n)}] = [Z^{(n)}][\alpha] \quad (8)$$

The constant coefficients α_i in equation (8) are obtained from equation (8) as follows:

$$[\alpha] = [Z^{(n)}]^{-1} [Y^{(n)}] \quad (9)$$

The actual proportions $S_i^{(n)}$ and their corresponding fractional portions $Z_i^{(n)}$ are presented in Table 2. The $Z^{(n)}$ values are used to determine $Z^{(n)}$ matrix and $Z^{(n)}$ matrix inverse (Tables 3 and 4). The values of $Y^{(n)}$ the matrix are obtained from Table 6.

According to Obam and Osadebe, the number of experiments required to model the overall cost function as a quadratic surface is given by:

$$N = \frac{(q+n-1)!}{n!(q-1)!} \quad (10)$$

Where: n, q = Degree of the polynomial and number of concrete components, respectively

One cubic meter of chikoko-cement concrete weighs 2400 Kg. Therefore, the observed overall cost of chikoko-cement concrete per observation point is given by:

$$OC = \frac{2400}{TZI} * \left(S_1 * CW + S_2 * CC + S_3 * CCH + S_4 * CS + S_5 * CG \right) \quad (11)$$

Where: CCC, CW, CC, CCH, CS, CG = the overall cost of concrete, cost of water, cost of cement, cost of chikoko, cost of sand, and cost of coarse aggregate, respectively.

$$TZI = S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4 + S_5 \quad (12)$$

Table 1, Oxide Composition of Chikoko Clay

CaO	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	Fe ₂ O ₃	MgO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	SO ₃	TiO ₂	ZnO	LoI
9.85	41.21	10.15	2.31	5.02	1.97	8.17	0.08	0.72	0.09	6.51

Source: Authors' experiment

Table 2, Actual mix ratios and component fractions based on Osadebe's second degree polynomial

S/ N	Actual Mix Ratios					Component's Fractional Portion				
	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	Z1	Z2	Z3	Z4	Z5
1	0.52601	0.947	0.053	2.1	4.2	0.06721 305	0.12100 6745	0.00677 2289	0.26833 5972	0.53667 1944
2	0.566	0.91901	0.081	2.02	4.04	0.07421 9677	0.12050 9939	0.01062 1544	0.26488 2947	0.52976 5893
3	0.589	0.823	0.1770 1	1.91	3.82	0.08047 5365	0.11244 6902	0.02418 4965	0.26096 4256	0.52192 8512
4	0.611	0.889	0.111	2.160 1	4.32	0.07551 5072	0.10987 3812	0.01371 8777	0.26697 2352	0.53391 9986
5	0.596	0.846	0.154	2.15	4.301	0.07406 4869	0.10513 2347	0.01913 7567	0.26718 0316	0.53448 4901
6	0.54600 5	0.93300 5	0.067	2.06	4.12	0.07067 1019	0.12076 1557	0.00867 2005	0.26663 1806	0.53326 3612
7	0.55750 5	0.885	0.1150 05	2.005	4.01	0.07362 222	0.11687 01	0.01518 717	0.26477 3503	0.52954 7006
8	0.56850 5	0.918	0.082	2.130 05	4.26	0.07143 3194	0.11534 7573	0.01030 3378	0.26764 2807	0.53527 3049
9	0.56100 5	0.8965	0.1035	2.125	4.2505	0.07068 6656	0.11295 9042	0.01304 1005	0.26775 0099	0.53556 3198
10	0.5775	0.87100 5	0.1290 05	1.965	3.93	0.07728 3269	0.11656 1236	0.01726 3945	0.26296 385	0.52592 77
11	0.5885	0.90400 5	0.096	2.090 05	4.18	0.07488 6541	0.11503 4507	0.01221 5986	0.26595 8564	0.53190 4402
12	0.581	0.88250 5	0.1175	2.085	4.1705	0.07414 0194	0.11261 4616	0.01499 3929	0.26606 2486	0.53218 8775
13	0.6	0.856	0.1440 05	2.035 05	4.07	0.07787 0956	0.11109 5897	0.01868 9678	0.26411 8816	0.52822 4653
14	0.5925	0.8345	0.1655 05	2.03	4.0605	0.07711 8263	0.10861 6355	0.02154 1701	0.26421 9534	0.52850 4146
15	0.6035	0.8675	0.1325	2.155 05	4.3105	0.07479 1952	0.10750 9558	0.01642 0768	0.26707 605	0.53420 1672
Checkpoints for model validation										
16	0.56033 6667	0.89633 6667	0.1036 7	2.01	4.02	0.07382 2308	0.11808 9081	0.01365 8144	0.26481 0156	0.52962 0311
17	0.57533 6667	0.88633 3333	0.1136 7	2.056 7	4.11333 3333	0.07428 1334	0.11443 3907	0.01467 5858	0.26553 9169	0.53106 9731
18	0.57767	0.894	0.106	2.136 7	4.27366 6667	0.07231 6894	0.11191 7363	0.01326 9844	0.26748 7505	0.53500 8394
19	0.57300 25	0.89450 25	0.1055 025	2.047 525	4.095	0.07426 6099	0.11593 5290	0.01367 4040	0.26537 7017	0.53074 7554

20	0.58050 25	0.87625	0.1237 525	2.080 025	4.16025	0.07422 5653	0.11204 1254	0.01582 3550	0.26596 1324	0.53194 8220
21	0.56925 25	0.88375 25	0.1162 525	2.045	4.09025	0.07388 5644	0.11470 5904	0.01508 8894	0.26542 9036	0.53089 0521
22	0.55175 5	0.90900 25	0.0910 025	2.032 5	4.065	0.07213 1814	0.11883 5351	0.01189 6902	0.26571 1977	0.53142 3955
23	0.57675 25	0.8655	0.1345 025	2.077 5	4.1555	0.07385 0268	0.11082 2939	0.01722 2371	0.26601 3466	0.53209 0955
24	0.56360 4	0.90500 2	0.0950 02	2.058 02	4.116	0.07283 9377	0.11696 1167	0.01227 7923	0.26597 5568	0.53194 5966
25	0.57760 2	0.88480 2	0.1152 02	2.068 02	4.1362	0.07422 4482	0.11370 1077	0.01480 3980	0.26574 9967	0.53152 0494
26	0.57360 3	0.88760 1	0.1124 02	2.076 02	4.1522	0.07352 1635	0.11376 8367	0.01440 7140	0.26609 4117	0.53220 8742
27	0.58610 1	0.87900 2	0.1210 02	2.074 03	4.1482	0.07506 0944	0.11257 2271	0.01549 6518	0.26561 7446	0.53125 2822
28	0.56525 35	0.88655 15	0.1134 525	2.053	4.10625	0.07317 6639	0.11477 1265	0.01468 7344	0.26577 7462	0.53158 7289
29	0.57445 25	0.89100 2	0.1090 015	2.077 52	4.1552	0.07358 0063	0.11412 6030	0.01396 1707	0.26610 3902	0.53222 8299
30	0.56600 45	0.90370 05	0.0963	2.123 02	4.2463	0.07132 7198	0.11388 3237	0.01213 5609	0.26754 0397	0.53511 3559

Where:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| $S_1 =$ actual proportion of water | $Z_1 =$ fractional portion of water |
| $S_2 =$ actual proportion of cement | $Z_2 =$ fractional portion of cement |
| $S_3 =$ a proportion of chikoko | $Z_3 =$ fractional portion of chikoko |
| $S_4 =$ actual proportion of sand | $Z_4 =$ fractional portion of sand |
| $S_5 =$ actual proportion of granite | $Z_5 =$ fractional portion of granite |

Table 3, Zn Matrix Based on Osadebe's Second Degree Polynomial

Z_1	Z_2	Z_3	Z_4	Z_5	Z_1Z_2	Z_1Z_3	Z_1Z_4	Z_1Z_5	Z_2Z_3	Z_2Z_4	Z_2Z_5	Z_3Z_4	Z_3Z_5	Z_4Z_5
0.06 7213 05	0.12 1006 745	0.00 6772 289	0.26 8335 972	0.53 6671 944	0.00 8133 232	0.00 0455 186	0.01 8035 679	0.03 6071 358	0.00 0819 493	0.03 2470 463	0.06 4940 925	0.00 1817 249	0.00 3634 497	0.14 4008 388
0.07 4219 677	0.12 0509 939	0.01 0621 544	0.26 4882 947	0.52 9765 893	0.00 8944 209	0.00 0788 328	0.01 9659 527	0.03 9319 054	0.00 1280 002	0.03 1921 028	0.06 3842 055	0.00 2813 466	0.00 5626 932	0.14 0325 951
0.08 0475 365	0.11 2446 902	0.02 4184 965	0.26 0964 256	0.52 1928 512	0.00 9049 205	0.00 1946 294	0.02 1001 194	0.04 2002 387	0.00 2719 524	0.02 9344 622	0.05 8689 244	0.00 6311 411	0.01 2622 823	0.13 6204 686
0.07 5515 072	0.10 9873 812	0.01 3718 777	0.26 6972 352	0.53 3919 986	0.00 8297 129	0.00 1035 974	0.02 0160 436	0.04 0319 006	0.00 1507 334	0.02 9333 27	0.05 8663 824	0.00 3662 534	0.00 7324 729	0.14 2541 875
0.07 4064 869	0.10 5132 347	0.01 9137 567	0.26 7180 316	0.53 4484 901	0.00 7786 614	0.00 1417 421	0.01 9788 675	0.03 9586 554	0.00 2011 977	0.02 8089 294	0.05 6191 652	0.00 5113 181	0.01 0228 74	0.14 2803 845

0.07 0671 019	0.12 0761 557	0.00 8672 005	0.26 6631 806	0.53 3263 612	0.00 8534 342	0.00 0612 859	0.01 8843 141	0.03 7686 283	0.00 1047 245	0.03 2198 872	0.06 4397 744	0.00 2312 232	0.00 4624 465	0.14 2185 04
0.07 3622 22	0.11 6870 1	0.01 5187 17	0.26 4773 503	0.52 9547 006	0.00 8604 236	0.00 1118 113	0.01 9493 213	0.03 8986 426	0.00 1774 926	0.03 0944 106	0.06 1888 212	0.00 4021 16	0.00 8042 321	0.14 0210 016
0.07 1433 194	0.11 5347 573	0.01 0303 378	0.26 7642 807	0.53 5273 049	0.00 8239 645	0.00 0736 003	0.01 9118 58	0.03 8236 263	0.00 1188 47	0.03 0871 948	0.06 1742 447	0.00 2757 625	0.00 5515 121	0.14 3261 981
0.07 0686 656	0.11 2959 042	0.01 3041 005	0.26 7750 099	0.53 5563 198	0.00 7984 697	0.00 0921 825	0.01 8926 359	0.03 7857 172	0.00 1473 099	0.03 0244 795	0.06 0496 706	0.00 3491 73	0.00 6984 282	0.14 3397 099
0.07 7283 269	0.11 6561 236	0.01 7263 945	0.26 2963 85	0.52 5927 7	0.00 9008 233	0.00 1334 214	0.02 0322 706	0.04 0645 412	0.00 2012 307	0.03 0651 391	0.06 1302 783	0.00 4539 793	0.00 9079 587	0.13 8299 973
0.07 4886 541	0.11 5034 507	0.01 2215 986	0.26 5958 564	0.53 1904 402	0.00 8614 536	0.00 0914 813	0.01 9916 717	0.03 9832 481	0.00 1405 26	0.03 0594 412	0.06 1187 361	0.00 3248 946	0.00 6497 737	0.14 1464 531
0.07 4140 194	0.11 2614 616	0.01 4993 929	0.26 6062 486	0.53 2188 775	0.00 8349 269	0.00 1111 653	0.01 9725 924	0.03 9456 579	0.00 1688 536	0.02 9962 525	0.05 9932 234	0.00 3989 322	0.00 7979 601	0.14 1595 469
0.07 7870 956	0.11 1095 897	0.01 8689 678	0.26 4118 816	0.52 8224 653	0.00 8651 144	0.00 1455 383	0.02 0567 185	0.04 1133 359	0.00 2076 347	0.02 9342 517	0.05 8683 592	0.00 4936 296	0.00 9872 349	0.13 9514 07
0.07 7118 263	0.10 8616 355	0.02 1541 701	0.26 4219 534	0.52 8504 146	0.00 8376 305	0.00 1661 259	0.02 0376 151	0.04 0757 322	0.00 2339 781	0.02 8698 563	0.05 7404 194	0.00 5691 738	0.01 1384 879	0.13 9641 119
0.07 4791 952	0.10 7509 558	0.01 6420 768	0.26 7076 05	0.53 4201 672	0.00 8040 85	0.00 1228 141	0.01 9975 139	0.03 9953 986	0.00 1765 39	0.02 8713 228	0.05 7431 786	0.00 4385 594	0.00 8772 002	0.14 2672 472

Table 4: Inverse of Zn Matrix Based obtained from Table 3

Z ₁	Z ₂	Z ₃	Z ₄	Z ₅	Z ₁ Z ₂	Z ₁ Z ₃	Z ₁ Z ₄	Z ₁ Z ₅	Z ₂ Z ₃	Z ₂ Z ₄	Z ₂ Z ₅	Z ₃ Z ₄	Z ₃ Z ₅	Z ₄ Z ₅
68861. 88734	83391. 0578	9356.8 30938	3585.2 75594	141.86 30848	15158 3542	50824. 56129	31433. 89793	6251.9 9463	55890. 59649	34612. 02133	6883.6 17923	11613. 02714	2309.3 33517	1426.2 76382
1417.5 37624	2467.2 10281	2531.7 76741	15219. 10693	711.27 66514	4250.2 84477	4297.3 82545	9770.7 58848	1943.3 37219	5557.9 16002	12653. 17904	2516.4 56702	12802. 14894	2545.7 95133	5780.1 84632
24460. 8499	55223. 9781	31172. 00764	8114.4 37185	400.06 77064	73978. 00843	57106. 22897	27791. 38067	5527.5 01822	83280. 21351	40581. 72919	8070.8 67617	31347. 89137	6233.7 4759	3029.4 77063
20431 8116	68644 6898	13289 9063.7	37250 9686.6	35801 6334	74913 5171.9	32993 9076.5	55191 8114.2	54102 7436.3	60433 5940.7	10122 38772	99219 4695.5	1802.1 802.1	43723 8796.4	73038 7668.7
50731 986.81	17043 3209.4	33248 863.52	92726 776.03	89582 309.62	18600 3587.8	82233 482.32	13721 3212.8	13485 4827.1	15061 8908.4	25164 6212.7	24730 3494.7	11133 0631.3	10939 7106.7	18228 3322.8
90092. 2000	57128. 2000	21635. 2000	33581. 2000	1492.0 2000	14321 1.6885	90801. 39295	8.2428 2000	64636 21746.	29429 70789.	85351. 42743	16974. 63195	90492 2000	68321 10768.	12948. 98849

-	8613.0	72858	18318	-	73401	18045	73301	18096	16424
95675	0084	5965.7	41056	7891.5	7548.1	6952	2694.1	31815	
16186.	44118	10742	-	43467	11068	43004	11300	98404	
00438	5117.1	6559	1674.1	8235.3	1745.3	8691.7	3066.9	9480.1	
81395.	44846	11014	8418.8	-	11094	44117	11374	10031	
17737	9497.9	3645.2	95548	5312.9	6960.6	4252.8	9553.5	74771	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
30524.	10037	24152	3772.0	99292	24693	98249	25216	22302	
55231	61982	8862	33796	0807.9	4067.8	4737.7	5936.5	03273	
-	10202	24760	18966.	10205	24749	10077	25380	22732	
15348	20648	9968.4	46982	99222	8020.8	99342	3262.2	93047	
27765	61612	14481	71898.	60276	15139	58966	15806	13583	
3.3305	3628.1	6413.9	48811	3130.3	1981.8	8434.2	2400.8	61013	
24683.	55130	12972	-	53881	13596	53451	13812	12161	
90237	6658.3	3004.6	1571.1	0194.7	5529.8	1271.4	1671.5	06039	
12410	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
6.2024	56030	13297	7899.5	55379	13626	54823	13900	12395	
-	6802.8	9709.6	66103	0774.7	6415.1	9088.4	8672.6	14785	
23289	33881	77875	29984.	32749	83461	32119	86683	74160	
8.6329	5525	114.03	72891	2090	008.03	4025	596.57	9265.6	
43946	77129	17518	67597.	74850	18631	73422	19354	16817	
5.4863	6593.9	7973.1	15573	6806.7	0297.8	3502.7	4722.9	09131	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1023.1	35846	89356	43.799	35700	90090	35725	89965	80577	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
22492.	37020	93883	1107.1	37728	90365	37599	90999	83694	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
74689.	13513	32142	15924.	13173	33832	12885	35316	29909	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
27434	70166	16297	81086.	68905	16913	67418	17662	15409	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17541	21188	47062	14083.	20324	51271	19987	52984	45867	

B. Cost analysis of chikoko-cement concrete mixes

The unit costs of the component materials are as follows:

Water = ₦3.20, Cement = ₦30.00, Sand = ₦1.60, Chikoko = ₦4.00, and Granite = ₦8.00.

The quantities and the overall cost of component materials per cubic meter of chikoko-cement concrete are given in Tables 5 and 6, respectively.

Table 5, Quantities of materials in kg per cubic meter of chikoko-cement concrete

S/No	Water	Cement	Chikoko	Sand	Granite
1.	161.311	290.416	16.253	644.006	1288.013
2.	178.127	289.224	25.492	635.719	1271.438
3.	193.141	269.873	58.044	626.314	1252.628
4.	181.236	263.697	32.925	640.734	1281.408
5.	177.756	252.318	45.930	641.233	1282.764
6.	169.610	289.828	20.813	639.916	1279.833
7.	176.693	280.488	36.449	635.456	1270.913
8.	171.440	276.834	24.728	642.343	1284.655

9.	169.648	271.102	31.298	642.600	1285.352
10.	185.480	279.747	41.433	631.113	1262.226
11.	179.728	276.083	29.318	638.301	1276.571
12.	177.936	270.275	35.985	638.550	1277.253
13.	186.890	266.630	44.855	633.885	1267.739
14.	185.084	260.679	51.700	634.127	1268.410
15.	179.501	258.023	39.410	640.983	1282.084
Control points					
16.	177.174	283.414	32.780	635.544	1271.089
17.	178.275	274.641	35.222	637.294	1274.567
18.	173.561	268.602	31.848	641.970	1284.020
19.	178.239	278.245	32.818	636.905	1273.794
20.	178.142	268.899	37.977	638.307	1276.676
21.	177.326	275.294	36.213	637.030	1274.137
22.	173.116	285.205	28.553	637.709	1275.417
23.	177.241	265.975	41.334	638.432	1277.018
24.	174.815	280.707	29.467	638.341	1276.670
25.	178.139	272.883	35.530	637.800	1275.649
26.	176.452	273.044	34.577	638.626	1277.301
27.	180.146	270.173	37.192	637.482	1275.007
28.	175.624	275.451	35.250	637.866	1275.809
29.	176.592	273.902	33.508	638.649	1277.348
30.	171.185	273.320	29.125	642.097	1284.272

Table 6, cost of materials per m³ of chikoko-cement concrete

S/No	Water	Cement	Chikoko	Sand	Granite	Total cost (₦)
1	516.1962	8,712.486	65.01397	1,030.41	10,304.1	20,628.21
2	570.0071	8,676.716	101.9668	1,017.151	10,171.51	20,537.35
3	618.0508	8,096.177	232.1757	1,002.103	10,021.03	19,969.53
4	579.9558	7,910.914	131.7003	1,025.174	10,251.26	19,899.01
5	568.8182	7,569.529	183.7206	1,025.972	10,262.11	19,610.15
6	542.7534	8,694.832	83.25125	1,023.866	10,238.66	20,583.36
7	565.4187	8,414.647	145.7968	1,016.73	10,167.3	20,309.9
8	548.6069	8,305.025	98.91243	1,027.748	10,277.24	20,257.54
9	542.8735	8,133.051	125.1936	1,028.16	10,282.81	20,112.09
10	593.5355	8,392.409	165.7339	1,009.781	10,097.81	20,259.27
11	575.1286	8,282.485	117.2735	1,021.281	10,212.56	20,208.73
12	569.3967	8,108.252	143.9417	1,021.68	10,218.02	20,061.3

13	598.0489	7,998.905	179.4209	1,014.216	10,141.91	19,932.5
14	592.2683	7,820.378	206.8003	1,014.603	10,147.28	19,781.33
15	574.4022	7,740.688	157.6394	1,025.572	10,256.67	19,754.97
Control points						
16	566.9556	8,502.416	131.1182	1,016.871	10,168.71	20,386.07
17	570.481	8,239.238	140.8882	1,019.67	10,196.54	20,166.82
18	555.3937	8,058.05	127.3905	1,027.152	10,272.16	20,040.15
19	570.364	8,347.344	131.2714	1,019.048	10,190.35	20,258.38
20	570.0534	8,066.969	151.9067	1,021.291	10,213.4	20,023.63
21	567.4421	8,258.828	144.854	1,019.247	10,193.1	20,183.47
22	553.9723	8,556.149	114.2109	1,020.334	10,203.34	20,448
23	567.1705	7,979.251	165.3354	1,021.492	10,216.15	19,949.39
24	559.4064	8,421.204	117.8681	1,021.346	10,213.36	20,333.19
25	570.044	8,186.478	142.1182	1,020.48	10,205.19	20,124.31
26	564.6462	8,191.322	138.3085	1,021.801	10,218.41	20,134.49
27	576.4681	8,105.203	148.7666	1,019.971	10,200.05	20,050.46
28	561.997	8,263.534	140.9991	1,020.585	10,206.47	20,193.59
29	565.0953	8,217.073	134.033	1,021.839	10,218.78	20,156.82
30	547.7933	8,199.597	116.5018	1,027.355	10,274.18	20,165.43

C. Cost model development

The values of $y^{(n)}$ in Table 6 are substituted into equation (9) to yield the cost coefficients as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= 29601.03149, & \alpha_2 &= 501171.8682, \\ \alpha_3 &= 784745.5018, & \alpha_4 &= 1039598.594, \\ \alpha_5 &= -558813.1753, & \alpha_{12} &= -662531.733, \\ \alpha_{13} &= -1058144.401, & \alpha_{14} &= 56149.64063, \\ \alpha_{15} &= 83209.40186, & \alpha_{23} &= 9269.149098, \\ \alpha_{24} &= -9282247.803, & \alpha_{25} &= 3612494.469, \\ \alpha_{34} &= -10590578.33, & \alpha_{35} &= 3669474.146, \\ \alpha_{45} &= 335449.375 \end{aligned}$$

The obtained coefficient values are now substituted into equation (1) to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= 29601.03149Z_1 + 501171.8682Z_2 + \\ & 784745.5018Z_3 + 1039598.594Z_4 + \\ & -558813.1753Z_5 - 662531.733Z_2Z_3 \\ & -1058144.401Z_1Z_3 + 56149.64063Z_1Z_4 \\ & + 83209.40186Z_1Z_5 + 9269.149098Z_2Z_3 \\ & -9282247.803Z_2Z_4 + 3612494.469Z_2Z_5 \\ & -10590578.33Z_3Z_4 + 3669474.146Z_3Z_5 \\ & + 335449.375Z_4Z_5 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Equation (13) is the mathematical model for predicting the overall cost of chikoko-cement concrete based on Osadebe's second-degree polynomial equation.

D. Test of the goodness of fit of the model

Equation (13) is tested for adequacy against the control points at a 95% confidence level using F-statistic, and the results are presented in **TABLE 7**.

Table 7, F-statistics test for control points

Control point	y_o	y_p	$y_o - \bar{y}_o$	$y_p - \bar{y}_p$	$(y_o - \bar{y}_o)^2$	$(y_p - \bar{y}_p)^2$
C ₁	20,386.07	20,386.07	211.790	211.788	44855.004	44854.355
C ₂	20,166.82	20,166.82	-7.460	-7.458	55.652	55.615
C ₃	20,040.15	20,040.15	-134.130	-134.132	17990.857	17991.268
C ₄	20,258.38	20,258.38	84.100	84.096	7072.810	7072.216
C ₅	20,023.63	20,023.63	-150.650	-150.652	22695.423	22695.884
C ₆	20,183.47	20,183.47	9.190	9.187	84.456	84.410
C ₇	20,448.00	20,448.00	273.720	273.722	74922.638	74923.989
C ₈	19,949.39	19,949.40	-224.890	-224.884	50575.512	50572.604
C ₉	20,333.19	20,333.19	158.910	158.908	25252.388	25251.901
C ₁₀	20,124.31	20,124.31	-49.970	-49.967	2497.001	2496.654
C ₁₁	20,134.49	20,134.49	-39.790	-39.793	1583.244	1583.446
C ₁₂	20,050.46	20,050.46	-123.820	-123.818	15331.392	15330.782
C ₁₃	20,193.59	20,193.59	19.310	19.309	372.876	372.856
C ₁₄	20,156.82	20,156.83	-17.460	-17.456	304.852	304.696
C ₁₅	20,165.43	20,165.42	-8.850	-8.857	78.323	78.438
Σ	20174.280	20174.281		Σ	263672.427	263669.112

The F- statistics is given by:

$$F = S_1^2 / S_2^2 \tag{14}$$

$$S_o^2 = \frac{18838.14}{18833.75} = 1.00023$$

S_1 , S_2 = larger and smaller value of the sample variances, respectively

Let: y_o , y_p = observed and predicted cost of chikoko-cement concrete mixes, respectively

$$\bar{y}_o = \frac{\Sigma y_o}{n}; \quad \bar{y}_p = \frac{\Sigma y_p}{n}$$

Using Equation (14), S_o^2 and S_p^2 are determined as follows:

$$S_o^2 = \frac{263672.427}{14} = 18833.75;$$

$$S_p^2 = \frac{263733.976}{14} = 18838.14$$

Using equation (14),

From Fisher table, $F_{0.95}(14, 14) = 2.4$.

The calculated value of $F < F$ value obtained from the standard statistical table, showing that the model is adequate and can be used to predict the overall cost of chikoko-cement concrete.

IV. CONCLUSION

A regression model has been developed to predict the cost of chikoko-cement concrete using Osadebe's regression technique. The F-statistic results showed that the cost model is adequate.

The predicted cost values were found to coincide with the observed values at all points of observation, showing that the model is reliable and can be used to predict the overall cost of chikoko-cement concrete. The overall cost of chikoko-cement concrete mixes is a function of the proportion and unit costs of the component materials: water, cement, chikoko mud, sand, and coarse aggregate, respectively. With the

model, any desired value of cost that falls within the region of the observed overall cost values, given any mix ratio, can be obtained with ease.

REFERENCE

- [1] S.O. Obam, and N.N. Osadebe, "Optimization of compressive strength of rice husk ash pozzolan concrete, *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Studies*", 1(2), 2006, 51-57.
- [2] N.N Osadebe, and S.O. Obam, "Improvements in the properties of concrete by partial substitution of ordinary Portland cement rice husk ash, *Proceedings of Annual Conference of IRDI Science and Technology Forum*", University of Nigeria Nsukka. 2(2), 2006, 87-91.
- [3] E.E. Ndububa, and N.N. Osadebe, "An optimization of the flexural strength of fibre cements mixture using Scheffe's Simplex Lattice". *NSE Technical Transaction*, 42, (1), 2007, 1-17.
- [4] D.O. Onwuka, C.E. Okere, and S.U. "Onwuka, prediction of concrete mix cost using modified regression theory", *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, Vol. 32, No., 2013, 211-216.
- [5] A.M. Neville, and J.J. Brook, "Concrete technology", Longman Ltd., Singapore, 1990.
- [6] THISDAY Newspaper, "Economic situation affecting health of Nigerians", October, 2016.
- [7] T.C. Nwofor, O. ThankGod, "A Simplified Framework for Condition Prediction of Building Components" *SSRG International Journal of Civil Engineering* 5.8 (2018): 32-39.
- [8] G.R. Otoko, "On the economic use of cement in soil stabilization". *International Journal of Engineering and Technology Research*, Vol2. No.1, , 2014, 01-07
- [9] D.O. Onwuka, and S. Sule, "Prediction of compressive strength of chikoko-cement concrete using Scheffe's Polynomial Function". *USEP: Journal of Research Information in Civil Engineering*, Vol. 14, No 1, 2017, 1338-1358.
- [10] Anyaogu, L. and Ezech, J.C. (2013). "Optimization of compressive strength of fly ash blended cement concrete using Scheffe's Simplex Theory". *Natural and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 4, No.2, pp. 177-186.
- [11] BS 12: Specification for Portland cement. "British Standards Institution", 1978.
- [12] BS 812: Part 1 "Methods for determination of particle size and shape", 1975.
- [13] T. Johnarry, and E.H. "Alabo, Processed silty clays of alluvial mud-flats as pozzolan/filter". The proceedings of the national conference on construction engineering and management, organized by Department of Civil Engineering, University of Port Harcourt, Rivers state. 1987, 393-402.