

Original Article

Newton's Method Cubic Equation of State C++ Source Code for Iterative Volume Computation

Abdulhalim Musa Abubakar¹, Ahmad Abubakar Mustapha²

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, Modibbo Adama University of Science and Technology (MAUTECH), Girei LGA, Yola, Nigeria

²Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Vigan's Foundation for Science, Technology & Research (VFSTR) (Deemed to be University), Vadlamudi, Guntur, A.P., India

Received: 25 April 2021

Revised: 26 May 2021

Accepted: 11 June 2021

Published: 24 June 2021

Abstract - This write-up enumerates the basic cubic equations of state used for pressure, volume and temperature determination for pure substances. It, however, focuses on developing a Dev C++ program for molar volume computation. Since molar volume in all cubic equations of state appears unsolvable due to their nonlinear nature, numerical solution methods, one of which is the Newton-Raphson method, can be utilized to estimate the root of such polynomial equations. The 257 lines of code running from Figure 1 to Figure 6, if executed, will return the molar volume to some certain iteration over a tolerance error specified. The program is a 4-in-1 calculator. The user is capable of working with a desired equation of state at a time. Users should note that the source code converges for either large or small errors specified.

Keywords - C++ program, Cubic equations of state, Equation of state, Newton's method, Iteration.

1. Introduction

An Equation of State (EOS) is a semi-empirical functional relationship between the pressure (P), volume (V) and temperature (T) of a pure substance (Sahay, Edison and Mohamed). The simplest EOS is the ideal gas law itself. As per EOS, every new equation is theoretically believed to be superior to those that precede it. One equation is best for calculating density but not for vapor pressure, while another is very accurate for predicting vapor pressure but not for density. There are different types of EOS that fall into three categories (Mansour): a) First-class EOS are basically cubic equations of state. The cubic equations of state such as the Van der Waals, Redlich and Kwong, Soave-Redlich-Kwong, and Peng-Robinson equations give reasonable results for the thermodynamic behavior of real fluids; b) Second class EOS are non-cubic. They provide accurate results for both vapor and liquid phases, of which the Benedict et al. equation is a good example for this class of equations; and c) Third class EOS which are non-analytical EOS that are highly constrained for some specific fluids. These equations of states, especially those requiring iteration to determine a given parameter, can be difficult to handle. Numerical analysis can be applied here, and one such method is Newton's Method. The method starts by taking an initial value or guesses to get a new approximation to the root. These other new values would then serve as initial to get the next and so on. However, doing this manually might take

time, especially if it is not converging quickly. A program can be written to forever prevent these grueling molar volume calculations. An example of such a programming language is C++.

The program did not, however, include a write-up that evaluates P, T and the number of moles (n) for the cubic EOS, as these parameters don't require iterations. Where molar volume is substituted with (V/n), the cubic EOS, an equation showing the number of moles (n) with up to degree 3 is seen. Such an equation, too, requires iteration. The temperature under Redlich-Kwong EOS would as well require iterations. Deiters et al. (2014) wrote in "Calculation of Densities from Cubic Equations of State: Revisited" but did not, however, capture the programming aspect of finding the densities or molar volume of the EOS.

2. Comparison of cubic EOS

Cubic equations of state (CEOS) are widely used in phase-equilibrium calculations because of their simplicity and accuracy (Soedarto). The cubic equations of state (CEOS) such as Van der Waals, Redlich-Kwong, Soave, and Peng-Robinson are simple models that have been widely used in the oil industry and also to describe the state of reservoir fluids at given conditions (Mansour). Table 1 displays the four CEOS and their constant parameter expressions (Himmelblau and Riggs):



Table 1. Cubic equations of state

<p>Van der Waals EOS</p> $\left(P + \frac{a}{\hat{v}^2}\right)(\hat{V} - b) = RT$ <p>where $a = \frac{27 R^2 T_c^2}{64 P_c}$ and $b = \frac{RT_c}{8P_c}$</p>	<p>Redlich-Kwong EOS</p> $\left[P + \frac{a}{T^{1/2} \hat{V}(\hat{V} + b)}\right](\hat{V} - b) = RT$ <p>where $a = 0.42748 \frac{R^2 T_c^{2.5}}{P_c}$ and $b = 0.08664 \frac{RT_c}{P_c}$</p>
<p>Soave Redlich-Kwong EOS</p> $P = \frac{RT}{\hat{V} - b} - \frac{a \alpha}{\hat{V}(\hat{V} + b)}$ <p>where $a = 0.42747 \frac{R^2 T_c^2}{P_c}$; $b = 0.08664 \frac{RT_c}{P_c}$ and $T_r = \frac{T}{T_c}$</p> $\alpha = \left[1 + (0.48508 + 1.55171w - 0.15613w^2)(1 - T_r^{0.5})\right]^2$	<p>Peng-Robinson EOS</p> $P = \frac{RT}{\hat{V} - b} - \frac{a \alpha}{\hat{V}(\hat{V} + b) + b(\hat{V} - b)}$ <p>where $a = 0.45724 \frac{R^2 T_c^2}{P_c}$ and $b = 0.07780 \frac{RT_c}{P_c}$</p> $\alpha = \left[1 + k \left(1 - T_r^{1/2}\right)\right]^2 \quad \text{where } T_r = \frac{T}{T_c}$ $k = 0.37464 + 1.54226w - 0.26992w^2$

The van der Waals EOS is the basis of various EOS. It is not capable of predicting phase equilibrium accurately. Redlich-Kwong EOS needs critical temperature and pressure for P, V or T calculations. This equation is not accurate enough for density and phase-equilibria calculations, including vapor pressures and solubility of solids in supercritical fluids (Soedarto).

The Redlich Kwong EOS can satisfactorily be applied for fugacity, enthalpy and entropy departure calculations as well as gas phase properties. This equation poorly predicts liquid phase properties (Sahay, Edison and Mohamed). The Soave-Redlich-Kwong (SRK) and Peng Robinson EOS are the most applicable in the petroleum industry (Oliveira, Ribeiro and Queimada). Soave replaced the term $T^{-0.5}$ of the RK equation of state by a function α involving temperature and acentric factor. The parameter was formulated to make the equation fit the vapor pressure data of hydrocarbons (Housam and Zakia).

The Peng-Robinson EOS was suggested to satisfy the following objectives (Housam and Nasri, Applications of the peng-robinson equation of state using MATLAB): a) parameters of this EOS should be defined in terms of the critical properties and the acentric factor; b) reasonable accuracy near the critical point, particularly for calculations of the compressibility factor and liquid density; c) a single binary interaction parameter, which should be independent of temperature, pressure and composition, is needed for the

mixing rules; and d) PENG EOS should be applicable in natural gas processes.

The PENG EOS provided results similar to the SRK EOS, although it is generally superior in estimating the liquid densities of many materials, especially nonpolar ones. The applicability of the Peng Robinson equation of state in the computation of thermodynamic interactions of volatile organic compounds and biodiesel has also been tested by Sahay et al. (2013).

Working with this set of equations might sometimes be a tedious task, especially if the user is after the molar volume (\hat{V}) given other conditions. The term "cubic" in CEOS earns its name because they result in a polynomial of degree 3 in volume if manipulated. These types of nonlinear equations can be solved by applying Numerical Methods like Secant, Fixed-point iteration, Regula-Falsi, Bisection or Newton-Raphson Method.

3. Application of Newton-Raphson Method

This Journal hand-picked the Newton-Raphson analytical method because of its rapid convergence to the root as one reason. By Newton's Method, if f is differentiable and x is an approximate solution of equation $f(x) = 0$, then a better approximation might be obtained using

$$x_{i+1} = x_i - \frac{f(x_i)}{f'(x_i)}, \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ where } i = \text{number of iterations or repetitions (Mate).}$$

EOS in Table 1 can be rewritten in the following way to compute molar volume (\hat{V}) of a given gas:

$$f(\hat{V}) = \hat{V}^3 + \left(b - \frac{RT}{P}\right)\hat{V}^2 - \left(3b^2 + \frac{2RTb}{P} - \frac{a\alpha}{P}\right)\hat{V} + \frac{b^3 + RTb^2 - ab\alpha}{P} = 0 \quad (7)$$

3.1. Van der Waals EOS

Writing the Van der Waals equation as a function of molar volume:

$$f(\hat{V}) = \hat{V}^3 - \left(b + \frac{RT}{P}\right)\hat{V}^2 + \left(\frac{a}{P}\right)\hat{V} - \frac{ab}{P} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$f'(\hat{V}) = 3\hat{V}^2 - 2\left(b + \frac{RT}{P}\right)\hat{V} + \frac{a}{P} \quad (2)$$

3.2. Redlich-Kwong EOS

The Redlich-Kwong EOS written as function of volume, $f(\hat{V})$ is as follows

$$f(\hat{V}) = \hat{V}^3 - \left(\frac{RT}{P}\right)\hat{V}^2 - \left(b^2 + \frac{RTb}{P} - \frac{a}{PT^2}\right)\hat{V} - \frac{ab}{PT^2} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$f'(\hat{V}) = 3\hat{V}^2 - \left(\frac{2RT}{P}\right)\hat{V} - \left(b^2 + \frac{RTb}{P} - \frac{a}{PT^2}\right) \quad (4)$$

3.3. Soave Redlich-Kwong EOS

SRK EOS can be presented in the following form:

$$f(\hat{V}) = \hat{V}^3 - \left(\frac{RT}{P}\right)\hat{V}^2 - \left(b^2 + \frac{RTb}{P} - \frac{a\alpha}{P}\right)\hat{V} - \frac{ab\alpha}{P} = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$f'(\hat{V}) = 3\hat{V}^2 - \left(\frac{2RT}{P}\right)\hat{V} - \left(b^2 + \frac{RTb}{P} - \frac{a\alpha}{P}\right) \quad (6)$$

3.4. Peng-Robinson

Rewriting Peng-Robinson EOS:

$$f'(\hat{V}) = 3\hat{V}^2 + 2\left(b - \frac{RT}{P}\right)\hat{V} - \left(3b^2 + \frac{2RTb}{P} - \frac{a\alpha}{P}\right) \quad (8)$$

For all the EOS, we have:

$$\hat{V}_{i+1} = \hat{V}_i - \frac{f(\hat{V}_i)}{f'(\hat{V}_i)} \quad (9)$$

There is always a starting value (say \hat{V}_0) to help calculate the root of equations (1), (3), (5) and (7). For a given problem, guess the volume calculated from ideal gas law as the initial value (that is $\hat{V}_{ideal} = \frac{RT}{P}$). Going the manual way by paper and calculator is quite time-consuming and makes one prone to error. In some situations, available online web calculators for any of the EOS might help, but one might have to grapple with unit conversion as there is hardly an online web calculator that makes provision for selecting different units.

The easiest or fastest of all is the use of MS Excel. Limitation common to all the aforementioned solution approaches is that one has to face the same stress for every new problem encountered/given. Therefore, this write-up wishes to present a multiple-option selection C++ program that computes molar volume, \hat{V} , by Newton's iterations for the CEOS.

4. C++ Source Code for Molar Volume Evaluation

The C++ language is a better version of the C language. One of its main features is that it supports object-oriented programming (OOP). C++ is used for scientific computing as well as system programming (Ghosh).

The below C++ syntax uses a do-while statement to solve for numerical solutions or roots of nonlinear equations by Newton's method:

CEOS Volume Iteration.cpp

```

1  /* Iterative Volume Computation by Newton's Method for Cubic EOS */
2  #include <iostream>
3  #include <math.h>
4  #include <iomanip>
5  using namespace std;
6  // VANDER WAALS EOS
7  #define f(V) V*V*V-SE1*V*V+(aVDW/P)*V-(aVDW*bVDW)/P
8  #define d(V) 3*V*V-2*SE1*V+aVDW/P
9  // REDLICH-KWONG EOS
10 #define g(V) V*V*V-(R*T/P)*V*V-SE2*V-SE3
11 #define h(V) 3*V*V-2*(R*T/P)*V-SE2
12 // SOAVE REDLICH-KWONG EOS
13 #define m(V) V*V*V-(R*T/P)*V*V-SE7*V-SE8
14 #define n(V) 3*V*V-2*(R*T/P)*V-SE7
15 // PENG ROBINSON EOS
16 #define x(V) V*V*V+SE9*V*V-SE13*V+SE14
17 #define y(V) 3*V*V+2*SE9*V-SE13
18 int main()
19 {
20     int option, step=1, N;
21     float R, Tc, Pc, T, P, V_ideal, aVDW, bVDW, SE1, aREDL, bREDL, SE2, SE3;
22     float aSRK, bSRK, Tr, w, SE4, SE5, SE6, alphaSRK, SE7, SE8;
23     float aPENG, bPENG, SE9, SE10, SE11, SE12, alphaPENG, SE13, SE14;
24     float V1, f0, f1, d0, e, g0, g1, h0, m0, m1, n0, x0, x1, y0;
25
26     cout<<"\n"<<"COMPUTING VOLUME FROM THESE CUBIC EQUATION OF STATE"<<"\n"<<endl;
27     cout<<setw(13)<<"(1) "<<"Van der Waals"<<endl;
28     cout<<setw(13)<<"(2) "<<"Redlich-Kwong"<<endl;
29     cout<<setw(13)<<"(3) "<<"Soave Redlich-Kwong"<<endl;
30     cout<<setw(13)<<"(4) "<<"Peng Robinson"<<endl<<endl;
31     cout<<"Choose Option: ";
32     cin>>option;
33     switch(option)

```

Fig. 1 Dev C++ Syntax showing various headers

Figure 1 defines the EOS and their derivatives (lines 6-17), as well as declaring many parameters as floats and int (lines 20-24). Equations 1-8 are defined in lines 6-17. SE1 to SE14 are expressions derived out of the general function, $f(V)$. It is to further reduce the complexity of the equation.

In C++ programming, 'int' stands for integer. Variables of type 'int' declared in line 20 of Figure 1 are examples. 'Float' is a decimal point number. Variables declared as 'float' are expected to be to some certain decimal places.

Output statements in C++ always begin with the keyword 'cout'. Lines 27-30 are output statements showing selectable options to execute. When the program is run, it requests the user to select an option, either 1, 2, 3, or 4, for any of the EOS (based on the input statement keyword 'cin'

of line 32). The user is expected to press either 1, 2, 3 or 4 to pick an equation of state. The options are well-interpreted using different cases in C++. They are Case 1 (line 35; Fig. 2), Case 2 (line 86; Fig. 2), Case 3 (line 138; Fig. 4a) and Case 4 (line 197; Fig. 4b).

C++ is case-sensitive. If a variable is declared using a lowercase alphabet, it should be declared so throughout the coding. Mistakes like that will prevent the program from running. This information is for readers who wish to type and run the source code in this article, either for usage or confirmation. It is worthy of note that almost all line of code in C++ ends with a semi-colon (;). Forgetting to type this will result in an error notification when the program is eventually run.

```

34 {
35     case 1:
36         cout<<"\n\t"<<"Enter the following parameters:"<<endl<<endl;
37         cout<<setw(20)<<"T = ";
38         cin>>T;
39         cout<<setw(20)<<"P = ";
40         cin>>P;
41         cout<<setw(20)<<"Tc = ";
42         cin>>Tc;
43         cout<<setw(20)<<"Pc = ";
44         cin>>Pc;
45         cout<<setw(20)<<"R = ";
46         cin>>R;
47         aVDW=(27*pow(R,2)*pow(Tc,2))/(64*Pc);
48         bVDW=(R*Tc)/(8*Pc);
49         SE1=bVDW+(R*T)/P;
50         cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
51         cout<<"NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD";
52         cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
53         cout<<setprecision(4)<<fixed;
54         /* INPUTS */
55         cout<<"\t"<<"Guess value, V_ideal = ";
56         cin>>V_ideal;
57         cout<<"\t"<<"Specify Tolerable Error: ";
58         cin>>e;
59         cout<<"\t"<<"Maximum Iteration = ";
60         cin>>N;
61         cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<"Iteration"<<setw(7)<<"V"<<setw(10)<<"f(V)"<<setw(11)<<"f'(V)";
62         do
63         {
64             f0=f(V_ideal); // = f(V)
65             d0=d(V_ideal); // = f'(V)
66             if(d0==0.0)
67             {
68                 cout<<"Mathematical Error";
69                 exit(0);
70             }
71             V1=V_ideal-f0/d0; // Newton's Formula: xn+1=xn-f(xn)/f'(xn)
72             cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<step<<setw(13)<<V1<<setw(9)<<f(V1)<<setw(11)<<d(V1);
73             step=step+1; // Iteration incremented by '1'
74             V_ideal=V1;
75             if(step>N)
76             {
77                 cout<<"Not Convergent";
78                 exit(0);
79             }
80             f1=f(V1);
81         }
82         while(fabs(f1)>e);
83         cout<<endl<<endl<<"Root is V = "<<V1<<endl;
84         break;
85
86     case 2:
87         cout<<"\n\t"<<"Enter the following parameters:"<<endl<<endl;
88         cout<<setw(20)<<"T = ";
89         cin>>T;
90         cout<<setw(20)<<"P = ";
91         cin>>P;
92         cout<<setw(20)<<"Tc = ";
93         cin>>Tc;
94         cout<<setw(20)<<"Pc = ";
95         cin>>Pc;
96         cout<<setw(20)<<"R = ";
97         cin>>R;

```

Fig. 2 C++ syntax for cases 1 and 2

Figure 2 contains coding of the Van der Waal and Redlich-Kwong EOS as Case 1 and 2, respectively. Line 62-82 is a do-while loop for Newton's iteration. The user is

allowed to specify the error, e , as well as the number of iterations or steps, N .

```

97      cin>>R;
98      aREDL=(0.42748*pow(R,2)*pow(Tc,2.5))/Pc;
99      bREDL=(0.08664*R*Tc)/Pc;
100     SE2=pow(bREDL,2)+(R*T*bREDL)/P-aREDL/(P*pow(T,0.5));
101     SE3=(aREDL*bREDL)/(P*pow(T,0.5));
102     cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
103     cout<<"NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD";
104     cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
105     cout<<setprecision(4)<<fixed;
106     /* INPUTS */
107     cout<<"\t"<<"Guess value, V_ideal = ";
108     cin>>V_ideal;
109     cout<<"\t"<<"Specify Tolerable Error: ";
110     cin>>e;
111     cout<<"\t"<<"Maximum Iteration = ";
112     cin>>N;
113     cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<"Iteration"<<setw(7)<<"V"<<setw(10)<<"f(V)"<<setw(11)<<"f'(V)";
114     do
115     {
116         g0=g(V_ideal);    // = f(V)
117         h0=h(V_ideal);    // = f'(V)
118         if(h0==0.0)
119         {
120             cout<<"Mathematical Error";
121             exit(0);
122         }
123         V1=V_ideal-g0/h0;    // Newton's Formula: xn+1=xn-f(xn)/f'(xn)
124         cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<step<<setw(13)<<V1<<setw(9)<<g(V1)<<setw(11)<<h(V1);
125         step=step+1;    // Iteration incremented by '1'
126         V_ideal=V1;
127         if(step>N)
128         {
129             cout<<"Not Convergent";
130             exit(0);
131         }
132         g1=g(V1);

```

Fig. 3 Syntax for Redlich-Kwong execution

Abbreviations like aVDW, bVDW, simply imply 'a' and 'b' constant parameters for Van der Waals EOS. Similarly, that of Redlich-Kwong are subscripted as REDL, SRK for Soave-Redlich-Kwong and PENG for Peng-Robinson (See Figure 3, lines 98-101). Also, see Figure 1 (lines 21-23). The function in the form of 'pow(x,y)', as seen in lines 47, 98, 100-101, 152, 155-156, 211, 214, 216 and 218-220 in almost all the figures, defines variables, say 'x' to the power of 'y'.

The program requests T, P, critical temperature and pressure, T_c , and P_c , molar gas constant, R, as well as an acentric factor, ω , to be entered for program execution (one of such is line 139-151 of Figure 4a). It is left for the user to ensure unit consistency of the properties requested as well as their accuracy. A wrong entry will definitely give a wrong output.

Note that the coding is almost the same for all cases. Differences are in the written equations and parameter expressions (for instance, aSRK in line 152 differs from aPENG in line 211 of Figure 5).

```

133     }
134     while(fabs(g1)>e);
135     cout<<endl<<endl<<"Root is V = "<<V1<<endl;
136     break;
137
138     case 3:
139     cout<<"\n\t"<<"Enter the following parameters:"<<endl<<endl;
140     cout<<setw(20)<<"T = ";
141     cin>>T;
142     cout<<setw(20)<<"P = ";
143     cin>>P;
144     cout<<setw(20)<<"Tc = ";
145     cin>>Tc;
146     cout<<setw(20)<<"Pc = ";
147     cin>>Pc;
148     cout<<setw(20)<<"R = ";
149     cin>>R;
150     cout<<setw(20)<<"w = ";
151     cin>>w;
152     aSRK=(0.42747*pow(R,2)*pow(Tc,2))/Pc;
153     bSRK=(0.08664*R*Tc)/Pc;
154     Tr=T/Tc;
155     SE4=0.48508+1.55171*w-0.15613*pow(w,2);
156     SE5=1-pow(Tr,0.5);
157     SE6=1+SE4*SE5;
158     alphaSRK=pow(SE6,2);
159     SE7=pow(bSRK,2)+(R*T*bSRK)/P-(aSRK*alphaSRK)/P;
160     SE8=(aSRK*bSRK*alphaSRK)/P;
161     cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
162     cout<<"NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD";
163     cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
164     cout<<setprecision(4)<<fixed;
165     /* INPUTS */
166     cout<<"\t"<<"Guess value, V_ideal = ";

```

Fig. 4(a) Syntax for Soave-Redlich-Kwong Execution (Case 3)

The lengthy equations were shortened to a 'short expression' tagged 'SE', see lines 155-157 of Figure 4(a), so as to avoid mistakes in the equation entry that would clearly

affect the result accuracy. The precision is set to 4 (i.e. 4 decimal places) in line 164, to be maintained throughout the calculations.

```

167 cin>>V_ideal;
168 cout<<"\t"<<"Specify Tolerable Error: ";
169 cin>>e;
170 cout<<"\t"<<"Maximum Iteration = ";
171 cin>>N;
172 cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<"Iteration"<<setw(7)<<"V"<<setw(10)<<"f(V)"<<setw(11)<<"f'(V)";
173 do
174 {
175     m0=m(V_ideal); // = f(V)
176     n0=n(V_ideal); // = f'(V)
177     if(n0==0.0)
178     {
179         cout<<"Mathematical Error";
180         exit(0);
181     }
182     V1=V_ideal-m0/n0; // Newton's Formula: xn+1=xn-f(xn)/f'(xn)
183     cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<step<<setw(13)<<V1<<setw(9)<<m(V1)<<setw(11)<<n(V1);
184     step=step+1; // Iteration incremented by '1'
185     V_ideal=V1;
186     if(step>N)
187     {
188         cout<<"Not Convergent";
189         exit(0);
190     }
191     m1=m(V1);
192 }
193 while(fabs(m1)>e);
194 cout<<endl<<endl<<"Root is V = "<<V1<<endl;
195 break;
196
197 case 4:
198     cout<<"\n\t"<<"Enter the following parameters:"<<endl<<endl;
199     cout<<setw(20)<<"T = ";
200     cin>>T;
201     cout<<setw(20)<<"P = ";

```

Fig. 4(b) Continuation of C++ Syntax for SRK Execution (Case 3)

Figure 4b is a continuation of the codes for SRK EOS. The variable 'm' and 'n' stands for $f(\hat{V})$ and $f'(\hat{V})$ corresponding to equations (5) and (6), respectively. The Newton expression in terms of these functions can be seen

clearly in line 182. Initial guess was taken as V_{ideal} for the functions. Figure 5 has the execution for Peng Robinson EOS:


```

202     cin>>P;
203     cout<<setw(20)<<"Tc = ";
204     cin>>Tc;
205     cout<<setw(20)<<"Pc = ";
206     cin>>Pc;
207     cout<<setw(20)<<"R = ";
208     cin>>R;
209     cout<<setw(20)<<"w = ";
210     cin>>w;
211     aPENG=(0.45724*pow(R,2)*pow(Tc,2))/Pc;
212     bPENG=(0.07780*R*Tc)/Pc;
213     SE9=bPENG-(R*T)/P;
214     SE10=0.37464+1.54226*w-0.26992*pow(w,2);
215     Tr=T/Tc;
216     SE11=1-pow(Tr,0.5);
217     SE12=1+SE10*SE11;
218     alphaPENG=pow(SE12,2);
219     SE13=3*pow(bPENG,2)+(2*R*T*bPENG/P)-(aPENG*alphaPENG/P);
220     SE14=(pow(bPENG,3)+R*T*pow(bPENG,2)-aPENG*bPENG*alphaPENG)/P;
221     cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
222     cout<<"NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD";
223     cout<<endl<<"*****"<<endl;
224     cout<<setprecision(4)<<fixed;
225     /* INPUTS */
226     cout<<"\t"<<"Guess value, V_ideal = ";
227     cin>>V_ideal;
228     cout<<"\t"<<"Specify Tolerable Error: ";
229     cin>>e;
230     cout<<"\t"<<"Maximum Iteration = ";
231     cin>>N;
232     cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<"Iteration"<<setw(7)<<"V"<<setw(10)<<"f(V)"<<setw(11)<<"f'(V)";
233     do
234     {
235         x0=x(V_ideal);    // = f(V)
236         y0=y(V_ideal);    // = f'(V)

```

Fig. 5 C++ syntax for Peng-Robinson (case 4)

In line 215 of Figure 5, the reduced pressure, T_r has been accounted for. The user would not be asked to enter the value

of T_r but rather the critical temperature, T_c will be requested as $T_r = \frac{T}{T_c}$. Figure 6 is the remaining the coding:

```

237     if(y0==0.0)
238     {
239         cout<<"Mathematical Error";
240         exit(0);
241     }
242     V1=V_ideal-x0/y0;    // Newton's Formula: xn+1=xn-f(xn)/f'(xn)
243     cout<<"\n"<<setw(5)<<step<<setw(13)<<V1<<setw(9)<<x(V1)<<setw(11)<<y(V1);
244     step=step+1;        // Iteration incremented by '1'
245     V_ideal=V1;
246     if(step>N)
247     {
248         cout<<"Not Convergent";
249         exit(0);
250     }
251     x1=x(V1);
252 }
253 while(fabs(x1)>e);
254 cout<<endl<<endl<<"Root is V = "<<V1<<endl;
255 break;
256 }
257 }

```

Fig. 6 Continuation of syntax for Peng-Robinson execution (case 4)

The keyword break (line 255 of Figure 6) causes the program control to exit from the switch block. The keyword break must be included at the end of each case statement.

5. Program Execution

5.1. Sample Problem

For propane, C₃H₈: T_c = 205.92°F = 665.92R, P_c = 615.5 psi and acentric factor, ω = 0.1529 (Mansour), with number of moles, n = 1.136 lbmol, R = 10.73 $\frac{psi \cdot ft^3}{lbmol \cdot R}$, at temperature, T = 683R and pressure, P = 679.7 psi,

calculate the molar volume using the four CEOS. Do this for iteration number N = 10 and error, e = 0.001. Compare the result.

What, then, is the initial guess? The initial guess, as stated earlier, is, $\hat{V} = \frac{RT}{P} = \frac{10.73 \times 683}{679.7} = 10.782 \text{ ft}^3/\text{mol}$. This, together with five other variables, will be requested by the program when run. An additional variable (i.e. acentric factor, ω) will be requested by the program when the user chooses SRK and PENG equations of state.

Table 2. Result showing iteration

1. VAN DER WAALS				2. REDLICH_KWONG			
<pre>Choose Option: 1 Enter the following parameters: T = 683 P = 679.7 Tc = 665.92 Pc = 615.5 R = 10.73 ***** NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD ***** Guess value, V_ideal = 10.782 Specify Tolerable Error: 0.001 Maximum Iteration = 10 Iteration V f(V) f'(V) 1 8.4976 93.0404 60.2056 2 6.9522 27.9755 26.3885 3 5.8920 8.5002 11.4763 4 5.1514 2.5796 5.0593 5 4.6415 0.7048 2.5548 6 4.3656 0.1077 1.8499 7 4.3074 0.0027 1.7595 8 4.3058 0.0000 1.7574 Root is V = 4.3058</pre>				<pre>Choose Option: 2 Enter the following parameters: T = 683 P = 679.7 Tc = 665.92 Pc = 615.5 R = 10.73 ***** NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD ***** Guess value, V_ideal = 10.782 Specify Tolerable Error: 0.001 Maximum Iteration = 10 Iteration V f(V) f'(V) 1 8.3719 111.2589 69.3885 2 6.7685 32.7285 31.1361 3 5.7173 9.3609 14.4302 4 5.0686 2.4075 7.4284 5 4.7445 0.4306 4.8761 6 4.6562 0.0262 4.2899 7 4.6501 0.0001 4.2510 Root is V = 4.6501</pre>			

3. SOAVE-REDLICH-KWONG	4. PENG-ROBINSON
<pre> Choose Option: 3 Enter the following parameters: T = 683 P = 679.9 Tc = 665.92 Pc = 615.5 R = 10.73 w = 0.1529 ***** NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD ***** Guess value, V_ideal = 10.782 Specify Tolerable Error: 0.001 Maximum Iteration = 10 Iteration V f(V) f'(V) 1 8.3851 110.1346 69.5138 2 6.8008 32.1103 31.4898 3 5.7810 8.9460 14.9832 4 5.1840 2.1273 8.2141 5 4.9250 0.3027 5.9432 6 4.8741 0.0102 5.5438 7 4.8722 0.0000 5.5297 Root is V = 4.8722 </pre>	<pre> Choose Option: 4 Enter the following parameters: T = 683 P = 679.7 Tc = 665.92 Pc = 615.5 R = 10.73 w = 0.1529 ***** NEWTON-RAPHSON METHOD ***** Guess value, V_ideal = 10.782 Specify Tolerable Error: 0.001 Maximum Iteration = 10 Iteration V f(V) f'(V) 1 8.2919 123.8681 75.4588 2 6.6504 35.9876 34.3072 3 5.6014 9.9288 16.4772 4 4.9988 2.2958 9.2205 5 4.7498 0.3018 6.8581 6 4.7058 0.0084 6.4792 7 4.7045 0.0000 6.4682 Root is V = 4.7045 </pre>

Table 2 is an amazing display of the program source code output window for each EOS selected. The window has a black background, and the contents are white. The molar volumes obtained are to four decimal places considering N = 10. This program aims to be a CEOS calculator to be applied by Chemistry and Chemical Engineering students of Polytechnics and Universities. The results of Table 2 can always be tested manually using a calculator. At this point, there is a need to define some nomenclature:

Nomenclature

T	Temperature (absolute)
P	Pressure
a, b	Constant parameter
T_c, P_c	Critical temperature and pressure
R	Molar gas constant
T_r	Reduced temperature
k, α	Constant parameters
w	Acentric factor
n	No. of moles

V	Volume of fluid
\hat{V}	Molar volume ($= \frac{V}{n}$)
$f(\hat{V})$	Function of $\hat{V} = f(V) = g(V) = m(V) = x(V)$ for VDW, REDL, SRK and PENG EOS, respectively (see Figure 1)
$f'(\hat{V})$	Derivative of $\hat{V} = d(V) = h(V) = n(V) = y(V)$ for VDW, REDL, SRK and PENG EOS, respectively (see Figure 1)

6. Conclusion

The program was written using Dev-Cpp 5.11 TDM-GCC 4.9.2 version. The results are molar volume computations only by Newton's Iterative Method. They exclude temperature, pressure and number of moles determination. They also exclude alternative numerical techniques for such problems. For this program, entering the right number of iterations and percentage error determines the convergent of the initial guess to the desired root.

Users should note that the source code converges for either large or small error values depending on the number of iterations entered. Entering 10 as a number of iterations will not necessarily mean that C++ should display the result up to the 10th iteration. In general, this coding is for CEOS; it excludes other classes of EOS. Similar codes that incorporate other EOS by either C++ or other programming languages can be proposed for further studies.

This work is not discouraging manual calculations. It is, however, simplifying the rigorous calculation steps involved before arriving at a solution. It will serve as a confirmation calculator for students and teachers alike who might encounter CEOS problems in the course of their studies.

Acknowledgement

Avalanche of gratitude goes to Mr. David Asimiakhuini, who mentored us on the basis of C++ Computer Programming at University of Maiduguri in the year 2014-2016. I specifically acknowledge Dr. Abdu Zubairu of the Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Maiduguri, for his lecture on Equations of State in the year 2014 when I was an undergraduate student of the institution. Your immense contributions are worthy of recognition. I throw more weight of recognition to M. Tech. Ahmad Abubakar Mustapha (a friend at VFSTR University, India) is also a C++ expert. Ultimately, glory be to Allah Almighty for granting us the wisdom to put this knowledge into use.

References

- [1] Ulrich K. Deiters, and Ricardo Macías-Salinas, "Calculation of Densities from Cubic Equations of State: Revisited," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 2529–2536, 2014. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [2] Pallab Ghosh, *Numerical Methods with Computer Programs in C++*, PHI Learning Private Limited, 2009. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [3] David Mautner Himmelblau, and James B. Riggs, *Basic Principles and Calculations in Chemical Engineering*, New Jersey: Prentice Hall, 1982. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [4] Zakia Nasri, and Housam Binous, "Applications of the Soave–Redlich–Kwong Equation of State Using Mathematica," *Journal of Chemical Engineering of Japan*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 534–538, 2007. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [5] Zakia Nasri, and Housam Binous, "Applications of the Peng-Robinson Equation of State using MATLAB," *Chemical Engineering Education*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 115-124, 2009. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [6] Mohamed Eman Mansour, *Equation of State, Inverse Heat Conduction and Heat Exchangers*, Cairo: Intech Open, 2020. [[Google Scholar](#)]
- [7] Attila Mate, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis with C Program*, Brooklyn College of the City University of New York, 2014. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [8] M.B. Oliveira et al., "Modeling Phase Equilibria Relevant to Biodiesel Production: A Comparison of gE Models, Cubic EoS, EoS-gE and Association EoS," *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry and Research*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 2348-2358, 2011. [[CrossRef](#)] [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [9] Sashay Ramdharee, Edison Muzenda, and Mohamed Belaid, "A Review of the Equations of State and their Applicability in Phase Equilibrium Modeling," *International Conference on Chemical and Environmental Engineering*, pp. 84-87, 2013. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]
- [10] S H. Ratnawati, "Improvement of the Redlich-Kwong Equation of State by Modification of Co-Volume Parameter," *Reaktor*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 58-65, 2010. [[Google Scholar](#)] [[Publisher Link](#)]